UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,664	03/21/2008	Birgit M. Pfitzmann	CH920030025US1	4647
	7590	EXAMINER		
ECM #72212		SHEHNI, GHAZAL B		
PO Box 4668 New York, NY 10163-4668			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2433	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pair@tuchmanlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,664	PFITZMANN ET AL	
Fugueta en		
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ter one of box (b) when the rinor tier er who rives within two
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	e with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr	ior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u>_</u> .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s). 	le if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ill not be entered, or b) ⊠ will be entered and an explanation of below or appended.
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-32</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo	are or on the date of filing a Nation of Annual will not be entered
	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ne status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO:13. ☐ Other:	/SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Carl Colin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2433

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE ARGUED THAT REFERENCE DOES NOT TEACH THAT THE SAME DATA TOKEN IS RECEIVED TWICE FOR EXCHANGE. EXAMINER NOTED TO THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE THAT IN PARAGRAPHS [0064] & [0065] EXPLAINS EACH TIME THE LICENSE SERVER RECEIVES A REQUEST, THE "SAME" LICENSE MODULE EXECUTES TO DETERMINE IF THE CLIENT HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY LICENSED BY ACCESSING AND COMPARING CLIENT IDENTIFICATION AND LICENSE STATUS INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY STORED IN THE ASSIGNED LICENSE POOL DATABASE.

REGARDING TO INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12, THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE ARGUED THE THE REFERENCE DOES NOT TEACH SUPPLYING ONE OF THE CURRENT DATA TOKEN AND THE EXCHANGE TOKEN TO THE LICENSE MANAGEMENT SERVER TO BE EXCHANGED FOR A NEW DATA TOKEN. EXAMINER RESPONDED TO THE PASSAGE [0085] & [0087] OF THE REFERENCE WHICH TEACHES EXCHANGING THE TEMPORARY LICENSE FOR NEW PERMENENT LICENSE. AS FOR CLAIM 21, THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE ARGUED THAT THE REFERENCE FAILS TO TEACH THAT THE USE OF THE SOFTWARE PRODUCT IS NOT ALLOWED IF THE CURRENT DATA TOKEN IS AN EXCHANGE TOKEN. EXAMINER RESPONDED THAT THE PARARGRAPH [0088] TEACHES IF THE CONNECTION IS AFTER THE TEMPORARY PERIOD AND THE LICENSE SERVER IS NOT AVAILABLE, THE TERMINAL SERVER REJECTS ACCESS TO THE CLIENT. THEREFORE EXAMINER HODS THAT BERGLER DISCLOSES THE ABOVE ARGUMENTS. THE SAME RATIONAL HOLDS FOR REJECTIONS OF THE DEPENDENTS CLAIMS..